## RING-CHAIN TAUTOMERISM OF 1,3-OXAZOLIDINES PREPARED FROM NOREPHEDRINE AND NORPSEUDOEPHEDRINE

Ferenc Fülöp<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Gábor Bernáth<sup>b</sup>, Jorma Mattinen<sup>c</sup> and Kalevi Pihlaja<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Turku, SF-20500 Turku, Finland; <sup>b</sup>Institute of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Albert Szent-Györgyi Medical University, H-6701 Szeged, POB 121, Hungary; <sup>C</sup>Laboratory for Organic Chemistry, University of Åbo Akademi, SF-20500 Turku, Finland

## (Received in UK 5 April 1989)

Abstract: The reactions of norephedrine and norpseudoephedrine with eleven different aromatic aldehydes led, in each case, to tautomeric equilibria consisting of two ring and one open-chain forms and obeying the equation: log  $K_X = \rho \sigma^+ + c$ , where  $\rho = 0.54\pm0.02$  and  $0.53\pm0.02$  and  $c = -0.36\pm0.02$  and  $\pm0.34\pm0.02$ , respectively. A connection was also found between the stereoselectivity of the ring-closures and the Hammett  $\sigma^+$  constants.

Although condensations of ephedrine and pseudoephedrine with oxo compounds have been thoroughly investigated<sup>2,3</sup> relatively little attention has been paid to those of the nor-derivatives.<sup>4-8</sup> In the latter studies the ring-chain tautomerism has been mentioned only sporadically. The important role of this tautomerism in explaining the reactivity<sup>9</sup> and stereochemistry of these condensation reactions<sup>10</sup> and in their use as "prodrugs"<sup>11</sup> motivated a study on the 1,3-oxazolidines derived from norephedrine and norpseudoephedrine. At the same time the present work continues our systematic investigations<sup>12-14</sup> on the ring-chain tautomerism of compounds derived from 1,2- and 1,3aminoalcohols and aldehydes:



## SYNTHESIS AND RESULTS

 $(\pm)$ -Norephedrine (2; Scheme 1) and  $(1\underline{R},2\underline{R})$ -norpseudoephedrine (4; Scheme 2) were allowed to react with aromatic aldehydes under very mild conditions; in Scheme 1 the reactions starting from  $(\pm)$ -norephedrine are shown only for the

 $(1\underline{R}, 2\underline{S})$ -enantiomer. The reactions took place quantitatively at ambient temperature.



A time-dependent <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic study on the product formation in  $CDCl_3$  at 400 MHz unequivocally showed that a three-component equilibrium was attained in every case (Figs. 1 and 2, Table 1). The relative amounts of the ring and chain tautomers were determined from the integrals of the acetal and methine signals, respectively. The relative configurations of the ring forms of compounds 3 and 5 were confirmed by 2DNOE measurements.<sup>15</sup>

For tetrahydro-1,3-oxazines $^{12,13}$  and 1,3-oxazolidines $^{14}$ , we recently established that the ring-chain tautomerism for their 2-aryl-substituted derivatives can be described by Equation (1):

$$\log K_{\rm X} = \rho \sigma^+ + c \tag{1}$$

where  $K_X = [ring]/[chain]$ ,  $\sigma^+$  is the Hammett constant<sup>16</sup>, and c is a constant characteristic of the ring system and the solvent used. Constant  $\rho$  does not depend on substitution of the hetero ring.<sup>12-14</sup> The solvent-dependence of  $\rho$  is not very strong, yet significant; at the same time, the value of the intercept c depends greatly on the nature of the solvent.<sup>14</sup> In



Figure 1. Time-dependent tautomerisation of compound 3a.

(o: 3Aa; □: 3Ba; ∆: 3Ca)



Figure 2. Time-dependent tautomerisation of compound 5a. (o: 5Aa; D: 5Ba; \Delta: 5Ca)

No.	H-2	H-4	H-5	Me	<u>J</u> 4,5	<sup>J</sup> 4,4Me
3 <b>A</b>	8.28	3.64	4.84	1.11	4.3	6.7
3B	5.63 (5.56)	3.65 (3.60)	5.13 (5.07)	0.78 (0.71)	7.9 (6.6)	6.7 (6.5)
3C	6.08	3.82	5.13	0.79	6.1	6.4
5 <b>A</b>	8.30	3.52	4.68	1.14	6.7	6.4
5B	5.89 (5.87)	3.26 (3.23)	4.38 (4.35)	1.31 (1.27)	8.2 (8.0)	6.1 (6.1)
5C	5.76	3.22	4.46	1.32	6.4	6.4

Table 1. Selected chemical shifts (ppm) and coupling constants (Hz) for compounds 3g and  $5g^a$ 

<sup>a</sup>All chemical shifts and couplings are within 0.02 ppm and 0.2 Hz, respectively. Literature data<sup>7</sup> at 100 MHz shown in parentheses.

 $CDCl_3 
ho$  is  $0.76\pm0.04$  for tetrahydro-1,3-oxazines<sup>12,13</sup> and  $0.57\pm0.03$  for 1,3-oxazolidines.<sup>14</sup> Constant c, the intercept, is illustrative of the degree of ring-closure. A negative value for c indicates destabilization and a positive value stabilization of the ring form.<sup>12</sup>

In this work, the three-component tautomeric equilibria for the two sets (3 and 5) of seven <u>p</u>- and four <u>m</u>-substituted derivatives (Schemes 1 and 2, respectively) could also be characterized by Equation (1) [Fig. 1 and Tables 2 and 3]. From a comparison of the intercepts c for 3 and 5 it is obvious that the ring forms of 5 (derivatives of the <u>erythro</u> aminoalcohol) are considerably more stable than those of compounds 3 ( $\Delta c \approx 0.70$ , Tables 2 and 3).

Two-component ring-chain tautomeric equilibria are often attained very rapidly, within a few seconds.<sup>14,17</sup> In the present case, however, the equilibria were established relatively slowly and were also considerably dependent on the substituents.

The time-dependent formation of the equilibrium products was examined for four compounds (3a, 3k, 5a, and 5k) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution. The equilibrium was established extremely fast for the (<u>p</u>-dimethylamino)phenyl-substituted derivatives 3k and 5k. The isomer and tautomer ratios determined immediately after dissolution were the same as those found after 24 hours. The formation Table 2. Melting points and tautomer ratios for compounds 3a-k

	2)	0.36±0.0	)2) σ <sup>+</sup> - (	(0.54±0.C	log K <sub>X</sub> =				
2.77	-1.288	1.3	3.6	95.1	-1.7	c <sub>18</sub> H <sub>22</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	135-137 hexane	<u>в</u> -и (сн <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	3k
2,33	-0.753	4.5	10.5	85.0	-0.778	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>19</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	oil	<u>р-осн</u> 3	3j
2.22	-0.489	7.6	16.9	75.5	-0.311	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>19</sub> NO	56-57 hexane	p-cH <sub>3</sub>	3 <b>i</b>
1.93	-0.448	0.6	17.3	73.7	-0.066	с <sub>17</sub> н <sub>19</sub> ио	oil	≞-cH <sub>3</sub>	зh
1.92	-0.314	11.2	21.5	67.3	o	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>17</sub> NO	85-86 hexane	н	3 <u>q</u>
1.68	-0.261	13.2	22.2	64.6	0.114	C16H16CINO	83-84 hexane	p-c1	3f
1.37	-0.193	16.5	22.6	60.9	0.399	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>16</sub> CINO	oil	<b>H-C1</b>	36
1.30	-0.215	16.5	21.4	62.1	0.405	c <sub>16</sub> H <sub>16</sub> Brno	oil	<b>11-B</b> r	3đ
1.24	-0.151	18.5	22.9	58.6	0.52	с <sub>17</sub> н <sub>16</sub> 1 <sub>3</sub> ио	oil	<b>≞−</b> cF <sub>3</sub>	30
1.10	0.075	25.8	28.5	45.7	0.659	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	104–105 hexane	p-cn	3b
1.08	0.105	26.9	29.1	44.0	0.79	с <sub>16</sub> Н <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub>	100–101 hexane	D-NO2	<b>3a</b>
[B]	log [3B]+[3C] [3A]	3C(\$)	3B(\$)	3A(%)	+0	Formula	M.p( <sup>o</sup> c) Solvent	<b>x</b> .	NO

Ring-chain tautomerism of 1,3-oxazolidines

							and the second se		
No.		M.p.( <sup>o</sup> c) Solvent	Formula	°+	5 <b>A</b> (%)	5B(\$)	5C(%)	log [5B]+[5C] [5A]	[B]
5a	p-NO2	103-104 hexane	c <sub>16</sub> H <sub>16</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.79	14.2	53.6	32.2	0.781	1.66
5b	p-cn	99-100 hexane	с <sub>17</sub> н <sub>16</sub> N20	0.659	15.0	52.7	32.3	0.753	1.63
50	<u>m</u> −CF <sub>3</sub>	oil	$c_{17H_16F_3NO}$	0.52	21.8	53.6	24.6	0.555	2.18
5 <b>d</b>	n-Br	oil	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>16</sub> Brno	0.405	24.9	52.9	22.2	0.479	2.38
5e		oil	c <sub>16</sub> H <sub>16</sub> cino	0.399	23.1	53.5	23.4	0.522	2.29
5f	p-c1	126-127 hexane	c <sub>16</sub> H <sub>16</sub> clno	0.114	26.8	50.8	22.4	0.436	2.27
59	Н	106-107 hexane	c <sub>16</sub> H <sub>17</sub> NO	0	30.2	50.8	19.0	0.364	2.67
Бħ	<u></u> ∎-cH <sub>3</sub>	oil	с <sub>17</sub> н <sub>19</sub> ио	-0.066	35.3	48.6	16.1	0.263	3.02
5i	₽-CH <sub>3</sub>	98-99 hexane	с <sub>17</sub> н <sub>19</sub> ио	-0.311	39.3	44.4	16.3	0.189	2.72
5j	в-осн <sub>3</sub>	157-159 ethanol	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>19</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	-0.778	52.0	35.0	13.0	-0.035	2.69
5)	<b>P-N</b> (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	160-161 ethanol	с <sub>18</sub> Н <sub>22</sub> N <sub>2</sub> 0	-1.7	78.9	16.0	5.1	-0.573	3.13
				log K <sub>X</sub> =	(0.53±0.0	2) σ <sup>+</sup> + ((	0.34±0.0	2)	

Table 3. Melting points and tautomer ratios for compounds 5a-k

4322

of the equilibrium mixture was much slower for the 2-(p-nitrophenyl) derivatives 3a and 5a. A constant tautomer ratio for the <u>erythro</u> derivative 3a was attained in about 4 hours (Fig. 1). In the case of the <u>threo</u> counterpart 5a the tautomeric equilibrium was established in about 48 hours at ambient temperature (Fig. 2).

Spassov et al.<sup>18</sup> studied the tautomerism of a mixture of oxazolidine Schiff bases prepared from <u>erythro</u>- and <u>threo</u>-2-(furyl)serine methyl ester and furfural. In contrast with the observations described in this work, they reported the formation of a two-component tautomeric mixture for the <u>erythro</u> isomer, whereas the <u>threo</u> isomer gave, similarly as in our results, two cyclic C-2 epimers in equilibrium with the open-chain form. They stated that the establishment of the tautomeric equilibria required about 4 hours in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature. Therefore the 2-furyl-substituted derivatives of norephedrine and norpseudoephedrine were synthesized and three-component tautomeric equilibria were found in both cases: **3A:3B:3C** = 84:10:6 and **5A:5B: 5C** = 63:24:14.

The relative amounts of the cyclic form, <u>i.e.</u> the stereoselectivity of the ring closure reactions of 3 and 5, showed interesting trends in both cases. In the <u>erythro</u> series the ratio [B]/[C] (Table 3) is just above 1 for the <u>p</u>-nitrophenyl and 2.77 for the (<u>p</u>-dimethylamino)phenyl substitution. In other words, this ratio depends almost linearly on the Hammett  $\sigma^+$  constants (r = -0.962). The <u>threo</u> series behaved similarly (Table 3; r = -0.812).

## EXPERIMENTAL

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Jeol GX-400 FT NMR spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The experimental details were given earlier.<sup>12</sup> Melting points (Tables 2 and 3) are uncorrected. All compounds prepared gave correct elemental analyses (within  $\pm 0.4$ % C,H,N).

Reactions of norephedrine and norpseudoephedrine with aromatic aldehydes. The aminoalcohol, 2 or 4 (151 mg; 1 mmol), was dissolved in ethanol (10 ml) and an appropriate aromatic aldehyde (1 mmol) was added. After standing for 2-3 h at room temperature, the solvent was evaporated off and the products were crystallized. The derivatives of <u>m</u>-substituted aldehydes were oils, which, after being dried for 2 h in a vacuum desiccator, gave correct analytical data.

Reactions of norephedrine and norpseudoephedrine with furfurol. The reactions

were performed as above. Mp's (after crystallization from hexane) were 100-101 and 67-68 <sup>O</sup>C, respectively.

<u>Acknowledgements.</u> Two of the authors (FF and KP) wish to express their gratitude to the Research Council for Natural Sciences, the Academy of Finland for financial support.

**REFERENCES AND NOTES** 

1. This paper is also regarded as part 149 of the series: Stereochemical Studies. Part 148: Pricken, A.; Fülöp, F.; Pflegel, P.; Bernáth, G. <u>Pharmazie</u>, accepted for publication.

2. Beckett, A. H.; Jones, G. R. Tetrahedron 1977, 33, 313.

3. Agami, C.; Rizk, T. <u>Tetrahedron</u> 1985, <u>41</u>, 537 and references cited therein.

4. (a) Narasaka, K.; Miwa, T.; Hayashi, H.; Ohta, M. <u>Chem. Lett.</u> 1984, 1399;
(b) Narasaka, K.; Miwa, T. <u>Chem. Lett.</u> 1985, 1217.

5. Engel, J.; Troemer, H. G; Sheldrick, W. S. Chem. Ztg. 1982, 106, 427.

6. Hua, D. H.; Chan-Yu-King, R.; McKie, J. A.; Myer, L. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1987, <u>109</u>, 5026.

7. Baudet, M.; Gelbcke, M. <u>Analyt. Lett.</u>, **1979**, <u>12(B4)</u>, 325 and <u>12(B6)</u>, 641.

8. (a) Gelbcke, M.; Baudet, M. <u>Spectrochim. Acta</u> 1983, <u>39A</u>, 717; (b) Gelbcke,
M.; Baudet, M.; Hoyois, J.; van De Vliedt, G.; Deleers, M. <u>Nouv. J. Chim.</u> 1985, <u>7</u>, 42.

9. Saavedra, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 2271 and 2379.

10. Elevend, M. B.; Hogeveen, H.; Schudde, E. P. <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 1986, 51, 3635.

11. Johansen, M.; Bundgaard, H. <u>J. Pharm. Sci.</u> 1983, <u>72</u>, 1294.

12. Fülöp, F.; Pihlaja, K.; Mattinen, J.; Bernáth, G. <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 1987, <u>52</u>, 3281.

13. Fülöp, F.; Pihlaja, K.; Mattinen, J.; Bernáth, G. <u>Tetrahedron</u> 1987, <u>43</u>, 1863.

14. Fülöp, F.; Bernáth, G.; Argay, Gy.; Kálmán, A.; Neuvonen, K.; Pihlaja, K., submitted to <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>

15. Nakanishi, K.; Schooley, D. A.; Koreeda, M.; Miaura, I. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 1972, <u>94</u>, 2865.

16. (a) Johnson, C. D., <u>The Hammett Equation</u>, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973, p.
33; (b) Brown, H. C.; Okamoto, Y. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80</u>, 4979.

17. Alva-Astudillo, M. A.; Chokotko, N. C. J.; Jarvis, T. C.; Johnson, C. D.; Lewis, C. C.; McDonnell, P. D. <u>Tetrahedron</u> 1985, <u>41</u>, 5919.

18. Spassov, S. L.; Markova, L.; Argirov, O.; Obretenov, T. <u>J. Mol. Struct.</u> 1986, <u>157</u>, 105.